NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)

CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)

1. Contractor Information

Firm Name: BAUER Foundation Corp.

CAGE Code: -

Address:

BAUER Foundation Corp.

DUNs Number: -

13203 Byrd Legg Drive

Odessa, FL 33556

Phone Number: (727) 831-2577

Email Address: Cyril.sleiman@bauerfoundations.com

Point of Contact: Cyril Sleiman Contact Phone Number: (415) 510 0701

2. Work Performance: **Prime Sub-Contractor** Joint Venture Other

(Explain)

Percent of project work performed: 100% 53%

If Sub-Contractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):

KOMADA LLC / (719) 596-8114

3. Contract Information

Contract Number:

W9128F19O0028

Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable):

Contract Type:

Firm Fixed Price

Cost Reimbursement

Other (Please specify):

Contract Title:

Teller Dam

Contract Location:

Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, CO

Award Date (mm/dd/yy):

08/14/2019

N/A

Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): 10/12/2020

Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):

10/23/2020

Explain Differences:

Additional Secant Pile Wall Drilling depth and Additional Grouting Points introduced.

Original Contract Price (Award Amount): \$9,300,700.00

Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable): \$9,797,420.70

Explain Differences: Additional Drilling Depth required and Additional Grouting Elements.

4.	Proje	ect]	Desc	rip	tion:
т.	1101		<i>y</i> csc.	up	uon.

Complexity of Work

High

Medium

Routine

How is this project relevant to project of submission? (Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.)

Cutoff wall installation along an existing embankment structure over a length of approx. 170ft. Cutoff wall installation through overburden, Dakota Sandstone bedrock, and embedded into Shale. Installed until 12,800 Square Feet of cutoff wall using Secant Pile Wall method and tremie concrete. The project included elements up to a depth of 115' from platform level, and the required continuous wall thickness was 24" with a permeability less than 1x10⁻⁵ cm/sec. Verticality checks were performed in 3 different methods to maintain the verticality requirements of 0.5% and the wall thickness. Verification cores performed to inspect concrete homogeneity and verticality of the piles. In addition, Foundation Drilling and Grouting was executed to create a curtain grout wall using core drilling and packer grouting.

Anything close to item 10 from the excel list?

CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)

5. Client Information (either Client or General Contractor)

Name:

Rob Billings

Title:

Project Manager

Phone Number: (719) 306-2547

Email:

rob.billings@tepa.com

6. Describe the client's role in the project:

The client was the prime contractor on the job.

7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy):

8. Client's Signature:

NOTE: THE GOVERNMENTREQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO USACE WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON USACE SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. THE GOVERNMENTRESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

RATING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many of the requirements to the Government's/ Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some of the requirements to the Government's/ Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The Contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the Contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that Contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the Contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The Contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the Contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/ Owner.

(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the Contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract.	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHITE YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S 1. QUALITY: a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	S PER	FORMA	NCE.			
						1
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts						
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation errors	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	Е	VĠ	S	M	U	N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:						
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. (If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:						
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
4. MANAGEMENT/ PERSONNEL/LABOR						
a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force?	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Government Property Control	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E	VG	S	M	U	N
e) Utilization of Small Business concerns	Е	VG	S	M	U	N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	Е	®	S	M	U	N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes	Е	VG	s	M	U	N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	Е	VG	S	M	U	N

00

* COCHENIA NOLLY MANAGEMENT			
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT			
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E VG S	M U	N
b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E VG S	M U	N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back- up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns)	E VG S	M U	N
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>	Yes	No	
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>	Yes	No)
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes	No	
6. SAFETY/SECURITY			
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E VG S	M U	N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E VG S	M U	N
7. GENERAL			
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues).	E VG S	M U	N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (explain if specific issues)	E VG S	M U	N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (If no, please explain below)	(Yes')	No	
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E VG S	M U	N

Please provide responses to the questions above (*if applicable*) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk. (please attach additional pages if necessary).